23. Mayur Vihar Ph. II B Block LSC
24. LSC Kondli Gharoli Sector G
25. Nand Nagari, LSC
26. LSC in Vikaspuri
27. Paschimpuri BG-7 CSC
28. Paschimpuri BQ-2 LSC
29. Rohini, Sector 16, CS-6
30. LSC, Sect. B-4, Pkt.-5, Narela.

Property beyond the purview of proposed Delhi Rent Control Act.

551. SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated percentage of property available for rent in the Union Capital Territory that falls beyond the purview of the proposed Delhi Rent Control Act, by virtue of carrying higher rent than the upper limit of Rs. 3500 per month;

(b) whether there is any move to raise the upper rent limit to a realistic level to make the law meaningful in respect of atleast 50% of the rented property; and

(c) whether Government are aware of the unhealthy practices prevailing under what is called the PAGRI-system?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI RAM JETHMALANI): (a) This Ministry does not collect data regarding property available on rent that falls beyond purview of the Delhi Rent Act by virtue of carrying higher rent than the upper limit of Rs. 3500 per month as fixed in Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 as amended in 1988. However, as per the survey conducted by N.S.S. (44th round) during 1988-89 the percentage of household in terms of monthly rent was as under:—

Monthly Rent (Rs.)	Percentage of household
0-50	23.06
50-100	12.71
100-250	24.19
250-500	21.34
above 500	17.39

(b) There is no proposal at present to raise the said upper limit of monthly rent because the existing limit of Rs. 3500 takes care of the tenants belonging to EWS, LIG and MIG categories.

(c) Practice of PAGRI has been highlighted from time to time in the representations received by the Government. This practice is prevalent mainly due to old Rent Control Laws which need amendment.

Accommodation occupied by Ex-Ministers and Ex-MPs.

552. SHRI BHAGABAN MAJHI: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of bungalows and flats meant for the Members of Parliament and Ministers have been occupied by the ex-Ministers and ex-M.Ps in New Delhi;

(b) if so, since when and the flat-wise and bungalow-wise, details thereof; and

(c) the action initiated to evict these ex-Ministers and ex-M.Ps.?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI RAM JETHMALANI): (a) and (b) The details of General Pool bungalows and flats in occupation of ex-Ministers and ex-MPs are given in Annexure-I.

(c) Action to get these houses vacated has been taken including initiation of eviction proceedings under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 against the persons mentioned at Sl. Nos. 4 to 19 in the statement.

Statement

List of former Ministers and former MPs who are not entitled for allotment of any accommodation and have not vacated their accommodation

Sl. No.	Name of the allottee S/Shri	Accommodation No.	Type	Date of becoming unauthorised
FORMER MINISTERS				
1.	Srikanta Jena	AB-14, Pandara Road	VII	19.4.98
2.	M. Maqbool Dar	15, Lodi Estate	VII	19.4.98
3.	Chaturanan Mishra	11, Canning Lane	VI	19.4.98
FORMER MPs				
4.	Saifuddin Chaudhary	14, Ashoka Road	VIII	10.6.96
5.	Sharad Yadav	9, Akbar Road	VIII	4.1.98
6.	M. L. Fotedar	6, Kushak Road	VIII	26.12.96
7.	Ajit Singh	18, Akbar Road	VIII	4.1.98
8.	Sukhbans Kaur	19, Safdarjang Road	VIII	4.1.98
9.	Kamaluddin Ahmed	9, Ashoka Road	VIII	4.1.98
10.	G. Venkatswamy	2, Jantar Mantar Road	VIII	4.1.98
11.	Col. Ram Singh	6, Ashoka Road	VIII	4.1.98
12.	Matang Singh	4, Kushak Road	VIII	2.5.98
13.	Mallikarjun	60, Lodi Estate	VII	4.1.98
14.	Chandra Dev Prasad Verma	17, Teen Murti Marg	VII	4.1.98
15.	Dr. Girija Vyas	AB-96, Shahjahan Road	VII	4.1.98
16.	Kanshi Ram	CI/II, Humayun Road	VI	4.1.98
17.	Ch. Ayyana Patrudu	23, Canning Lane	VI	4.1.98
18.	N. D. Tiwari	CI/9, Tilak Marg	VI	4.1.98
19.	Iqbal Singh	34, Lodi Estate	VI	9.5.98

Lack of basic facilities in Rohini Phase III

553. SHRI S. M. KRISHNA: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that DDA has allotted a large number of plots/flats in Rohini, Phase III under various schemes;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether it is a fact that basic amenities like electricity, water and sewerage have not been provided in such allotments;

(d) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(e) by when such facilities are likely to be provided?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATREYA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. DDA has reported that 11098 plots of various categories and more than 4000 flats under various schemes have been allotted in Rohini Phase III.

(c) to (e) Yes, Sir. It is a fact that basic amenities could not be provided so far as